



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,684	03/29/2007	Nobuyuki Mori	VX062739 PCT	3683
23400	7590	04/01/2009	EXAMINER	
POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC 12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE SUITE 101 RESTON, VA 20191			SMITH, PRESTON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/578,684	MORI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PRESTON SMITH	1794	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/29/2007, 11/21/2006, 05/09/2006</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1,2,4-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori Nobyuki, JP2002306059 (EP 1380212 used as translation) in view of Gerhard Grolig, US-Patent 7,011,858.

Regarding claims 1,2,5-11, Nobyuki teaches a monolayer casing (tubular 0022) for food which comprises polyvinylpyrrolidone and thermoplastic resin (0009). A smoke curing solution (water containing the flavor components of smoke) is applied to the

Art Unit: 1794

casing (0011). The thermoplastic resin is polyamide resin(0009) and the polyvinylpyrrolidone may be a crosslinked polymer of N-vinylpyrrolidone (0017). The polyamide resin and polyvinylpyrrolidone may be present in amounts of 50 to 1 by weight relative to each other (0009).

Nobyuki fails to teach a multilayer tubular casing.

Grolig teaches that it is well known in the art to construct multilayer tubular casings having a polyamide inner layer further comprising a polyethylene and polyamide layer (column 8, lines 15-18). The olefin based polymer layer (polyethylene) and the polyamide (polyamide 6 which is a polyamide resin) layers are added as vapor barrier layers (column 6, lines 10-25) (additionally, polyamide 6 or nylon 6 can act as an oxygen barrier layer as pointed out on page 6, lines 28-35 of applicant's specification).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Grolig with Nobyuki by further adding a polyethylene and polyamide layer to the polyamide/crosslinkedpolyvinylpyrrolidone casing of Nobyuki discussed previously since the addition of these additional layers would hinder vapor and oxygen flow (provide additional protection from) to the product encased and would thus further preserve the product encased during storage (which would be advantageous for sellers of the encased food product). Additionally, the addition of the extra layers taught by Grolig in the composite invention would serve to prevent the flow of the liquid contained in the filling of the polyamide/crosslinkedpolyvinylpyrrolidone portion of the casing to the outside of the casing during cooking (since the extra layers provide extra vapor barriers)(see paragraph 0012 of

Nobyuki). This would reduce the probability unattractive wrinkles in the packaged product (see paragraph 0012 of Nobyuki).

Regarding claim 4, since the smoke curing liquid is applied to the polyamide/crosslinkedpolyvinylpyrrolidone casing of Nobyuki as discussed previously, the composite invention of Nobyuki in view of Grolig would be a multilayer casing wherein the innermost layer is applied with a smoke curing liquid.

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Nobyuki teaches packaging food in the casing wherein the smoking curing liquid is applied to the casing (0012 and 0014) and cooking the casing (heat treatment) containing the food product (0013). It would have thus been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to cook the casing of the composite invention of Nobyuki in view of Grolig as discussed previously in order to provide a cooked food product.

Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori Nobyuki, JP2002306059 (EP 1380212 used as translation) in view of Gerhard Grolig, US-Patent 7,011,858 and Arthur M. Dowell, US-Patent 4,150,697.

Regarding claim 3, the references teach the invention of claim 1 as discussed previously however the references fail to teach the casing subjected to corona discharge.

Dowell teaches that it is well known in the art to subject food (meat) casings to corona discharge (column 2, lines 42-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to subject the casing of the composite invention of Nobyuki in view of Gerhard to corona discharge because this would improve the food's adherence to the casing (column 2, lines 42-50). This would allow for more favorable consumption of the food product (upon breaking of the casing the food would not readily slip out).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PRESTON SMITH whose telephone number is (571)270-7084. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Th 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Sample can be reached on (571) 272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Drew E Becker/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

prs